



NOT JUST FOR THE ASSEMBLY LINE:

A Case for Robotics in Accounting and Finance

THINKSTOCK/ISTOCK/LIUZISHAN

By
Shawn Seasongood

Ever since the founding of the modern corporation, management teams have sought to boost efficiency. From consolidating or outsourcing back-office operations in rightsizing events to incorporating enterprise resource planning and data management systems for managing operations in real time, the best companies have always stayed ahead of the curve in seeking ways to wring out redundancies and associated expenses.

From the finance department's view, the push for more efficiency is part of an ongoing "finance transformation," wherein CFOs and finance executives are evaluating new ways to bring business intelligence, data analysis and more effective forecasting to their

organizations. At its core, finance transformation is a journey in which the finance function evolves to become a valued business partner by improving service delivery, cost efficiency and compliance.

A growing number of finance departments are pursuing changes to streamline their business operations.

Today those efforts are increasingly leading CFOs and finance executives to explore robotic automation software solutions. Just as companies as disparate as

costs, greater efficiency, improved analytics capabilities, and greater performance and quality standards are among reasons business analysts view robotic automation as the next wave of significant disruptive technology. In their view, it will eventually become part of most functions in organizations across the globe.

How They Differ

RPA is tailored for repetitive back-office jobs that typically are not client-

reduce the number of manual tasks associated with accessing multiple and disparate systems and allow accounting and finance staff to use a higher set of skills to focus on more advanced and complex activities.

Benefits Tailored for Today

Adopting robotic automation makes sense for any organization looking to improve efficiency, but companies that are outsourcing certain responsibilities to regions with low-cost labor, in particular, stand to reap the benefits of a more effective and less expensive strategy in robotics. Consider that robots can operate all hours of a day, every day of the year, after all. Not only does that allow the continuous performance of processes and services, but it also generally provides improvements in customer response and satisfaction.

In addition, robotic automation is not prone to human error and no training is required, which reduces risk and saves time. Robotic automation completes simple jobs with minimal expenses related to salaries, overtime, benefits and overhead costs. The cost of licensing a robot is also much lower than the compensation for a full time worker.

Effective management teams are constantly searching for ways to reshape their organizations, and, in the modern day, companies have typically gravitated toward adopting a progression of digital business intelligence solutions as technology has advanced. The need to evaluate cost centers and processes has been even more pronounced in the long-running environment of slow economic growth, low interest rates, and increasing competition

Robots interact with systems and applications in the same way individuals do at a shared service center.

automakers and semiconductor manufacturers have used robots to perform mundane tasks for hours on end, so can a wide range of companies use robotics to execute routine “white collar” administrative tasks. For example, individuals performing finance and accounting duties often spend significant amounts of time on repetitive, low-value duties. In fact, a segregation of duties already exists for the deployment of robots in those settings: robotic process automation (RPA) and robotic desktop automation (RDA).

RPA and RDA tools both use automation software to perform tasks such as processing sales and financial transactions, managing data, communicating between different systems, and access management, as well as monitoring and reporting. Lower

facing. Usually the tasks are operational and span a wide spectrum of functions, including determining credit decisions, loan underwriting, insurance underwriting, insurance claim adjudication, payment processing, customer service delivery, accounting data entry and procurement, to name a few activities.

RDA, on the other hand, is typically used in retail, call center and other back-office operations where individual employees leverage an assigned robot to perform specific duties. Some of the tasks may include providing an immediate consolidated snapshot of customer data, confirming internal regulations to ensure data input and processes are complete and accurate, and measuring worker productivity. Ultimately, the idea behind RDA is to

• **Automation is logical:** Do the process descriptions or activities include logical elements that can be programmed into a software solution? Candidates could include performing calculations, conducting variance analysis, reconciling data and systems, and reporting. Usually, any activities that require professional judgments, writing or communicating are not ideal choices.

• **Maturity of process:** Has the function been repeated over multiple periods, and do management and other leaders have

that provide data with little manipulation necessary. Information that must be pulled from several different systems and require specialized handling by designated personnel are not viable choices for robotic automation.

• **Business value:** How many hours does the process consume on an annual basis, and how much of that time could be spent on activities that would produce a greater benefit? The functions where robotic automation can generate the highest amount of

in time alone. The move also reduced expenses and the risk of operational errors while freeing up resources for more complex undertakings.

Building a Robotic Culture

Even after taking the appropriate steps, the successful adoption of robotic automation still faces challenges, particularly during the implementation phase. Typically issues arise when companies overlook the extent to which stakeholders have “bought into” the new system or have prepared for it.

Executives that fail to take ownership of the concept, for example, will likely stymie cross-functional implementation. To avoid that problem, companies can appoint an executive to secure the financial support to not only employ robotic automation, but also to provide the appropriate resources needed to drive change.

Similarly, companies need to establish a dedicated, cross-functional team, accountable to an executive sponsor, and task it to examine processes for automation, develop requirements and report progress.

Another potential pitfall centers on the failure to apply finance controls as processes are automated. Corporations can dodge that issue by ensuring controls are in place in the newly automated system, and that management develops and approves process maps before migration to automation begins. Functional areas and individuals are typically accountable for maintaining these controls.

Companies also need to designate at least one full-time employee — or preferably a team — to monitor the robotic

The successful adoption of robotic automation still faces challenges, particularly during the implementation phase.

ample experience with the program and a strong knowledge of its execution and results? Are there process summaries or control descriptions that document the activity and outcomes? Repeatable and sustainable processes that have been executed for long periods, and for which there is institutional knowledge, make the most likely candidates. New activities with little performance history or documentation are less attractive.

• **Availability of data:** Do data elements supporting the processes exist in IT systems with minimal or no manual intervention? Is data coordination limited to one or two information technology systems? The best candidates under these criteria include enterprise resource planning, business intelligence and accounting solutions

savings are the most obvious candidates.

To further enhance the prioritization of robotic automation opportunities, companies can rank the importance of automating the processes as high, medium or low, in accordance with the criteria stated above. The organization that applied the described methodology detailed earlier also considered the locations where the processes were performed to take into account potential savings by automating operations in high-cost regions. This company then evaluated the automation candidates and ranked them based on estimated time savings.

One process the company identified for robotic automation— tax consolidation — saved more than 2,800 hours

Copyright of Financial Executive is the property of Financial Executives International and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.